

II.C1b. Comments on acquisition policies—county and region<sup>1</sup>

County and region	Collection development policy in progress		No written policy, but parameters are known		Policy exists for parent body, not for department		Current policy needs revision		Not actively collecting	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Region: Coastal Plain										
Beaufort (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Bertie (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Bladen (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Brunswick (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Camden (n=0)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Carteret (n=2)	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Chowan (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Columbus (n=3)	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Craven (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Currituck (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Dare (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Duplin (n=3)	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Gates (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hertford (n=3)	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

County and region	Collection development policy in progress		No written policy, but parameters are known		Policy exists for parent body, not for department		Current policy needs revision		Not actively collecting	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Hyde (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Jones (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Lenoir (n=2)	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Martin (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
New Hanover (n=8)	1	12.5%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%
Onslow (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Pamlico (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Pasquotank (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pender (n=3)	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Perquimans (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Pitt (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tyrrell (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Washington (n=3)	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coastal Plain Regional Subtotal (n=40)	4	10.0%	28	70.0%	4	10.0%	1	2.5%	3	7.5%
Region: Piedmont										
Alamance (n=3)	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



County and region	Collection development policy in progress		No written policy, but parameters are known		Policy exists for parent body, not for department		Current policy needs revision		Not actively collecting	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Greene (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Guilford (n=5)	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Halifax (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Harnett (n=1)	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hoke (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Iredell (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Johnston (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lee (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Lincoln (n=1)	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Mecklenburg (n=9)	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%
Montgomery (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Moore (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Nash (n=6)	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%
Northampton (n=2)	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Orange (n=6)	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%
Person (n=1)	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%



County and region	Collection development policy in progress		No written policy, but parameters are known		Policy exists for parent body, not for department		Current policy needs revision		Not actively collecting	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Yadkin (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Piedmont Regional Subtotal (n=96)	23	24.0%	42	43.8%	9	9.4%	10	10.4%	12	12.5%
Region: Mountains										
Alleghany (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Ashe (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Avery (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Buncombe (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Burke (n=4)	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Caldwell (n=3)	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cherokee (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Clay (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Graham (n=0)	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Haywood (n=3)	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Henderson (n=4)	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson (n=2)	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Macon (n=3)	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

County and region	Collection development policy in progress		No written policy, but parameters are known		Policy exists for parent body, not for department		Current policy needs revision		Not actively collecting	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Madison (n=1)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
McDowell (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Mitchell (n=1)	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Rutherford (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Swain (n=1)	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Transylvania (n=2)	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Watauga (n=4)	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Wilkes (n=3)	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Yancey (n=0)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Mountains Regional Subtotal (n=37)	7	18.9%	23	62.2%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	4	10.8%
Total (n=173)	34	19.7%	93	53.8%	15	8.7%	12	6.9%	19	11.0%

<sup>1</sup> Note that the survey provided a free-text answer for this question. The answers were categorized above for analysis.