

III.K2. Usefulness of cooperative efforts for sharing expense and expertise—institution type

Institution type	Statewide/multi-repository automated cataloging network		Statewide coordination of collecting policies		Cooperative purchasing of archival supplies		Centralized preservation, conservation lab		Centralized microfilming, imaging		Shared storage facilities		Disaster preparedness plan		Other*		Total
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Archives	5 (33.3%)	5 (33.3%)	5 (33.3%)	5 (33.3%)	4 (26.7%)	4 (26.7%)	6 (40.0%)	6 (40.0%)	6 (40.0%)	6 (40.0%)	1 (6.7%)	1 (6.7%)	4 (26.7%)	4 (26.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (48.4%)
Library Special Collections	35 (30.4%)	35 (30.4%)	52 (45.2%)	52 (45.2%)	48 (41.7%)	48 (41.7%)	25 (21.7%)	25 (21.7%)	18 (15.7%)	18 (15.7%)	30 (26.1%)	30 (26.1%)	30 (26.1%)	30 (26.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	115 (48.7%)
Subtotal	40 (30.8%)	40 (30.8%)	57 (43.8%)	57 (43.8%)	52 (40.0%)	52 (40.0%)	31 (23.8%)	31 (23.8%)	24 (18.5%)	24 (18.5%)	31 (23.8%)	31 (23.8%)	34 (26.2%)	34 (26.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	130 (48.7%)
Art Museums/ Arts Councils	7 (30.4%)	7 (30.4%)	7 (30.4%)	7 (30.4%)	8 (34.8%)	8 (34.8%)	10 (43.5%)	10 (43.5%)	6 (26.1%)	6 (26.1%)	8 (34.8%)	8 (34.8%)	6 (26.1%)	6 (26.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (33.8%)
Children's Museums	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (25.0%)
Historic House Museums	8 (25.8%)	8 (25.8%)	8 (25.8%)	8 (25.8%)	7 (22.6%)	7 (22.6%)	10 (32.3%)	10 (32.3%)	8 (25.8%)	8 (25.8%)	9 (29.0%)	9 (29.0%)	8 (25.8%)	8 (25.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	31 (29.8%)
History Museums	24 (29.6%)	24 (29.6%)	22 (27.2%)	22 (27.2%)	19 (23.5%)	19 (23.5%)	21 (25.9%)	21 (25.9%)	24 (29.6%)	24 (29.6%)	17 (21.0%)	17 (21.0%)	36 (44.4%)	36 (44.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	81 (34.5%)
Science Museums	2 (15.4%)	2 (15.4%)	5 (38.5%)	5 (38.5%)	6 (46.2%)	6 (46.2%)	2 (15.4%)	2 (15.4%)	1 (7.7%)	1 (7.7%)	4 (30.8%)	4 (30.8%)	3 (23.1%)	3 (23.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (30.2%)
Subtotal	41 (27.3%)	41 (27.3%)	43 (28.7%)	43 (28.7%)	40 (26.7%)	40 (26.7%)	43 (28.7%)	43 (28.7%)	39 (26.0%)	39 (26.0%)	39 (26.0%)	39 (26.0%)	54 (36.0%)	54 (36.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150 (32.8%)
State Historic Sites	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)	4 (50.0%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (12.5%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (30.8%)

Institution type	Statewide/multi-repository automated cataloging network		Statewide coordination of collecting policies		Cooperative purchasing of archival supplies		Centralized preservation, conservation lab		Centralized microfilming, imaging		Shared storage facilities		Disaster preparedness plan		Other*		Total
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
State Parks	1 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (30.0%)
Subtotal	2 (18.2%)	2 (18.2%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)	5 (45.5%)	5 (45.5%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (18.2%)	5 (45.5%)	5 (45.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (30.6%)
Total	83 (28.5%)	83 (28.5%)	101 (34.7%)	101 (34.7%)	97 (33.3%)	97 (33.3%)	75 (25.8%)	75 (25.8%)	65 (22.3%)	65 (22.3%)	72 (24.7%)	72 (24.7%)	93 (32.0%)	93 (32.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	291 (38.2%)

* Write-in responses to "Other" include; training, cataloging standardization for genealogy books, centralized digitization lab, computer storage space, floating grant funded cataloger/archivist, and programming, funding and money sharing, central access to North Carolina collections, training in archival methods and digitization, advertising, cooperation with tourism, funding to participate in programs, grant sources, publicity, statewide sharing of collection inventories, and work with schools, more internet exposure.